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Attn: Daniel Barufaldi

Re: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
School Street Parking Lot
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Dover, NH 03820

Dear Mr. Barufaldi,

John Turner Consulting, Inc. (JTC) is pleased to submit this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the property identified as the School Street Parking Lot in the City in Dover, New Hampshire ("the Site"). For a Summary of findings, please review the Executive Summary. The Executive Summary should be reviewed in conjunction with a review of this entire report.

Environmental Professional Statement:

We declare that, to the best of our professional knowledge and belief, that we meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in §312.10 of 40 CFR 312 and we have the specific education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. We have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

JTC appreciates the opportunity to provide the City of Dover, New Hampshire with our environmental services. If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned. Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Turner Consulting, Inc.

Edward J. Warner
Senior Environmental Scientist

John D. Turner
President
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1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During January and February 2011 John Turner Consulting, Inc. (JTC) conducted a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the property identified as the School Street Parking Lot in Dover, New Hampshire (“the Site”). The assessment was conducted in accordance with the processes described in the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM), Designation: E1527-05 - Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, and with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 40 CFR Part 312 - Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI), November 1, 2006.

The purpose of this process is to define customary practices for conducting environmental site assessments upon commercial real estate with respect to a range of hazardous substances. The goal of the processes established by this practice is to identify recognized environmental conditions. The term recognized environmental condition (REC) means the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release or material threat of release of any oil or hazardous materials (OHM) into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water on the property.

Findings:

The Site is a paved municipal parking lot located along School Street in a central business district (CBD) of Dover, New Hampshire. It is bounded by a commercial property to the north, School Street to the south, Mechanic Street to the east, and a commercial property to the west. The Site is identified as Lots 25, 26, and 27 on Tax Map 3, contains a total combined land area of 0.6 acres, and is owned and operated by the City of Dover. The Site vicinity contains a mix of residential and commercial properties.

A visual inspection of the Site by JTC personnel did not reveal any evidence indicating a release, a material threat of release, or the misuse of OHM at the Site or on adjoining properties. It should be noted that at the time of the Site inspection, much of the exterior space not containing parking spaces or driveway areas were covered with recent heavy snow or large snow banks. Therefore, inspection of these areas was limited.

A review of historical Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps indicate the Site has been utilized mainly for residential use over the years. In addition, retail shops and business were observed located immediately west and northwest of the Site along Main Street. The 1971 Sanborn map shows the Site occupied by residential dwellings. Located on the adjoining property to the north is a commercial building (currently Janetos Shopping Plaza) and a gasoline filling station to the northwest along Main Street. Based on its upgradient location immediately northwest of the Site, the gasoline filling station is considered a REC.
A search of available federal and state environmental database records was provided to JTC by FirstSearch Technology Corporation (FirstSearch). Review of the FirstSearch report indicated that the Site is not listed on any federal or state databases researched within the specified radii.

The database information did indicate that one underground storage tank (UST) location (Janetos Shopping Plaza), and one underground storage tank/leaking underground storage tank (UST/LUST) location (Former Stafford Inn) are located immediately upgradient from the Site and therefore, are considered RECs with respect to the Site property.

An online review of available records was conducted at the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) web site for documentation regarding USTs, LUSTs, aboveground storage tanks (ASTs), hazardous waste sites, and spills of OHM at the Site or on adjoining properties. Records were not discovered with regards to the Site property.

NHDES records did indicate that two 10,000 gallon fuel oil USTs were removed from Janetos Shopping Plaza in 1986. This property adjoins the Site to the north. Records were not discovered regarding the condition of the USTs or the surrounding soils at the time of removal. Therefore, this upgradient UST location, which adjoins the Site to the north, is considered a REC with respect to the Site.

Conclusions:

This assessment has not revealed any evidence of RECs at the Site. However, the adjoining property to the north (occupied by Janetos Shopping Plaza and a former gasoline station), and a property located approximately 400 feet north (Former Stafford Inn) are considered recognized environmental conditions (RECs) with respect to the Site property.

Recommendations:

JTC recommends that a subsurface investigation, that includes the collection and analysis of soil and groundwater samples, be conducted to evaluate whether the RECs identified during this assessment have adversely impacted subsurface conditions at the Site.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 Location and Legal Description

The Site is a paved municipal parking lot located along School Street in Dover, New Hampshire. It is bounded by a commercial property to the north, School Street to the south, Mechanic Street to the east, and a commercial property to the west. It is located on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Topographic Map for the Dover East, New Hampshire Quadrangle (1956. Revised 1988). Refer to Figure 1, USGS Topographic Map for details.
The Site is identified as Lots 25, 26, and 27 on the City of Dover Tax Map 3, and contains a total combined land area of 0.6 acres. The Site is owned by the City of Dover, New Hampshire. Refer to Figure 2 to review the layout of the Site and immediate vicinity. Photographs of the Site are included as Appendix A.

2.2 Site & Vicinity Characteristics

The Site is located within a central business district (CBD) of Dover, New Hampshire and is improved by a paved municipal parking lot. The Site vicinity contains a mix of residential and commercial properties.

2.3 Site Improvements

The Site is improved by an approximate 0.6 acre paved municipal parking lot. Buildings or other structures are not currently located at the Site.

2.4 Information from Site Owner/Operator

Mr. Dean Peschel, former Dover Environmental Project Manager, indicated to JTC that he does not have any personnel knowledge regarding the Site or Site history.

2.5 Present Ownership & Use

The Site is improved by a paved municipal parking lot owned and operated by the City of Dover, New Hampshire.

2.6 Adjoining Properties

Based on a visual reconnaissance and a review of available records and plans for the Site and immediate vicinity, the current and historical uses of the adjoining properties are presented on the following page in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjoining Properties</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Current Use</th>
<th>Historical Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial property</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Commercial strip mall containing a small supermarket, fitness center, pizza shop, coffee shop, and paved parking areas.</td>
<td>Residential; commercial strip mall; gasoline filling station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Street</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Paved municipal roadway.</td>
<td>Paved municipal roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential properties</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Residential dwellings.</td>
<td>Residential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic Street</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Paved municipal roadway.</td>
<td>Paved municipal roadway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential properties</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Residential dwellings.</td>
<td>Residential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial property</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>Two story brick office building.</td>
<td>Residential; retail shops.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The exterior of the adjoining properties were visually evaluated for any RECs that may be present. The visual reconnaissance did not reveal any evidence indicating a release, a material threat of release, or the misuse of OHM on adjoining properties.

2.7 Environmental Permit Violations

Research of available records did not reveal any evidence of current or historical permit violations associated with the Site.

3.0 SITE HISTORY

3.1 Historically Completed Environmental Documents

JTC was not provided with any historically completed environmental documents prepared for the Site.

3.2 Title Records

Research of available records at the City of Dover Assessor’s Office indicate that the current owner of the Site is the City of Dover, New Hampshire. A complete title search is beyond the scope of this assessment.

3.3 Local Records

According to the City of Dover Assessor’s records, the Site is located within a central business district (CBD) and is identified as Lots 25, 26, and 27 on the City of Dover Tax Map 3. It contains a total combined land area of 0.6 acres. The Site is owned by the City of Dover, New Hampshire.

According to personnel at the City of Dover municipal offices, no records for the Site are on file at the Dover Fire Department, Dover Health Department, or Dover Building Department.

3.4 Historical Sources

For historical information, JTC reviewed historical Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, city directories, aerial photographs, and historical topographic maps for the Site and adjoining properties. Copies of the Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, aerial photographs, and historical topographic maps that depict the Site and adjoining properties are included as Appendix B, Appendix C, and Appendix D, respectively.

A review of historical Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps for the years 1887, 1892, 1905, 1912, 1925, 1946 and 1971 indicate that the Site has been utilized mainly for residential use over the years. The Sanborn maps from 1887 to 1946 show the Site and immediate vicinity occupied mainly by residential dwellings. In addition, retail shops and business were observed located immediately west and northwest of the Site along Main Street from 1898 to 1946.
The 1971 Sanborn map shows the Site occupied by residential dwellings. A restaurant and retail shop are located immediately west of the Site along Main Street. Located on the adjoining properties is a commercial building immediately north (the current strip mall), a church to the northeast, and a gasoline filling station (with pumps only) to the northwest along Main Street. Based on its upgradient location immediately northwest of the Site, the gasoline filling station is considered a REC.

A large mill complex was observed occupying the property west and southwest of the Site, across Main Street. This mill complex was identified as Cocheo Mills in 1887, Cocheo Manufacturing Company from 1892 to 1912, and Pacific Mills (cotton mills) in 1925. In 1946 the mill complex was occupied by a shoe factory and a canning factory and the buildings were noted as owned by the City of Dover. In 1971, the mill buildings were noted as owned by the City of Dover, however, it is unknown if the mill complex was active. Based on its cross/downgradient location from the Site, the mill complex is not considered a REC.

City directories have been produced for most urban and some rural areas since the late 1800s. These directories are often archived in research and municipal libraries, are generally not comprehensive and may contain gaps in time periods. Available historical city directories of the Site and vicinity were reviewed by JTC from the period 1941 to 2010 to evaluate historical property use of the Site and adjoining properties. Results indicate that the Site and adjoining properties were utilized mainly for residential use from circa 1941 to the 1960s, and for mixed residential and commercial use from circa 1997 to 2010.

A review of historical topographic maps that depict the Site for the years 1893, 1916, 1918, 1941, 1956, 1973 and 1988 indicate that the Site and vicinity was part of a rural area in 1893, was part of a residential area from 1916 to 1941, and was part of a commercial area from 1956 to 1988. The Site is currently located in a Central Business District (CBD) of Dover, New Hampshire.


4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Based on a review of the local topography and the USGS topographic map for the Dover East, New Hampshire Quadrangle, the Site is situated at an elevation of approximately 60 feet above mean sea level (MSL). Topography at the Site slopes moderately to the southeast toward School Street. Determination of the groundwater flow direction at the Site was beyond the scope of this assessment. The Cocheo River is located approximately 500 feet south of the Site.
5.0 RECORDS REVIEW

5.1 Federal and State Records

A search of available federal and state environmental database records was provided to JTC by FirstSearch Technology Corporation (FirstSearch). Review of the FirstSearch report indicated that the Site is not listed on any federal or state databases researched within the specified radii. A copy of the FirstSearch report is included as Appendix E.

A review of regulatory database information was also conducted to evaluate whether potential REC's are present within the ASTM minimum search distance. The FirstSearch report indicates that 143 mapped or geocoded properties, that are listed under various federal or state environmental regulatory programs, are situated within an approximate one mile radius of the Site. However, because the Site is located within a highly urbanized area of Dover, JTC has reduced the minimum search radius to 500 feet. Results of the federal and state database search is presented below in Table 2.

Table 2
Geocoded Properties Listed Under Various Federal and State Environmental Regulatory Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Distance/Direction from Site</th>
<th>Regulatory Program</th>
<th>Presents Conditions</th>
<th>Logic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janetos Shopping Plaza</td>
<td>Main St</td>
<td>Abutting north</td>
<td>UST</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>UG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Stafford Inn</td>
<td>15 Saint John St</td>
<td>400 feet north</td>
<td>LUST; UST</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>UG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Steam Plant; Dover Mills Partnership; Liberty Mutual</td>
<td>100 Main St</td>
<td>100 feet southwest</td>
<td>LUST; RCRAGN; RCRA NLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG; DG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belknap Dental Associates</td>
<td>2 Cochecho Park</td>
<td>400 feet west</td>
<td>RCRAGN; RCRANLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochecho Print Works</td>
<td>442 Central Ave</td>
<td>400 feet northwest</td>
<td>RCRAGN</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Ave Properties</td>
<td>456 Central Ave</td>
<td>400 feet northwest</td>
<td>RCRANLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Plaza Trust</td>
<td>451 Central Ave</td>
<td>500 feet north-northwest</td>
<td>UST</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer Building; Chinburg Builders, Inc</td>
<td>42 Main St</td>
<td>400 feet south</td>
<td>UST; RCRANLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarostat MFG, Inc</td>
<td>Main &amp; Washington St</td>
<td>300 feet south</td>
<td>NFRAP; RCRANLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstart Publishing, Inc</td>
<td>12 Portland St</td>
<td>300 feet south</td>
<td>RCRAGN; RCRANLR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unseal, Inc</td>
<td>1 Washington Place</td>
<td>300 feet south</td>
<td>RCRAGN</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover Gas Works; Dover Gas Plant</td>
<td>Cochecho &amp; Portland Sts</td>
<td>500 feet east</td>
<td>NFRAP; State; LUST</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowell &amp; Watson</td>
<td>60 Portland St</td>
<td>400 feet east</td>
<td>Spills</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
Yes = REC is present in connection with the Site; No = REC is not present in connection with the Site; RA = Response Actions have been completed at this location; UG = Upgradient; CG = Crossgradient; DG = Downgradient.
Evaluation of the FirstSearch database information indicate that one UST location (Janetos Shopping Plaza), and one UST/LUST location (Former Stafford Inn) are considered recognized environmental conditions (RECs) based on their distance and upgradient position in relation to the Site property.

5.2 NHDES File Review

An online review of available records was conducted at the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) web site for documentation regarding USTs, LUSTs, ASTs, hazardous waste sites, and spills of OHM at the Site or on adjoining properties. Records were not discovered with regards to the Site property.

NHDES records did indicate that two 10,000 gallon fuel oil USTs were removed from Janetos Shopping Plaza in 1986. This property adjoins the Site to the north. Records were not discovered regarding the condition of the USTs or the surrounding soils at the time of removal. Therefore, this UST location is considered a REC with respect to the Site.

5.3 Non-Geocoded Properties

Due to discrepancies in the location of some facilities in the databases arising from incorrect or incomplete addresses some facilities may be listed as unmapped or non-geocoded locations. After evaluation, these non-geocoded locations are not considered RECs with respect to the Site property.

6.0 SITE RECONNAISSANCE

A visual inspection of the Site was conducted on January 31, 2011 by Mr. Edward Warner and Mr. Kyle Urso of JTC. At the time of the inspection, the weather was partly sunny and the temperature was approximately 20° Fahrenheit. The inspection consisted of a visual inspection of the parking lot areas including parking spaces, entrance ways, exit ways, and driveways, other assessable exterior spaces, vegetation, surrounding grounds, and to the extent feasible, adjoining properties. It should be noted that at the time of the Site inspection, much of the exterior space not containing parking spaces or driveway areas were covered with recent heavy snow or large snow banks. Therefore, inspection of these areas was limited.

6.1 Underground Storage Tanks (UST’s)

Evidence indicating the past or present existence of USTs at the Site was not observed by JTC personnel during the Site inspection.

6.2 Aboveground Storage Tanks (AST’s)

Aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) that may contain oil or hazardous materials (OHM) were not observed on the Site property.
6.3 Hazardous Substances

Oil or hazardous materials (OHM) were not observed at the Site or on properties adjoining the Site during the Site reconnaissance.

6.4 Landfills

Evidence indicating the presence of a landfill was not observed or reported for the Site property. Evidence indicating illegal dumping activity also was not observed.

6.5 Pits, Sumps, Catch Basins and Lagoons

Pits, ponds, sumps, or lagoons were not observed on the Site property. Evidence of catch basins, other than those used for storm water, were not observed at the Site.

6.6 Hazardous Waste

Indications of hazardous waste such as discarded waste oil containers, automotive fluids, household chemicals and cleaners, etc. were not observed on the Site property.

6.7 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB’s)

Potential PCB containing equipment such as electrical transformers were not observed at the Site.

6.8 Solid Waste Disposal

Indications of solid waste disposal such as the presence of dumpsters, trash cans, etc. were not observed at the Site.

6.9 Stained Surfaces & Distressed Vegetation

JTC observed minor surficial oil staining on the pavement throughout the paved parking areas. This minor staining is associated with parked automobiles that utilize the Site and is not considered a REC. All vegetation on and surrounding the Site appeared healthy (non-distressed).

6.10 Underground Structures

Indicates of underground structures were not observed on the Site property.

7.0 USER RESPONSIBILITIES / USER PROVIDED INFORMATION

The User Questionnaire was completed by Mr. Dean Peschel, former Dover Environmental Project Manager, as required by ASTM 1527-05 regulations. The User Questionnaire consists of six questions that must be answered by the User to qualify for one of the Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs) offered by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization
Act of 2001. Please refer to Appendix E to review a copy of the completed User Questionnaire. The answers to the six questions are briefly discussed below.

**Question 1** - To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Peschel is not aware of any environmental cleanup liens against the Site that have been filed under federal, state or local laws. A review of available records by JTC did not reveal evidence of any environmental cleanup liens against the Site. As previously stated, a full title search of the Site was beyond the scope of this assessment.

**Question 2** - To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Peschel is not aware of any Activity and Use Limitations (AULs) or engineering controls that are in place at the Site or have been filed under federal, tribal, state or local law. A review of available records by JTC did not reveal evidence of any AULs or engineering controls for the Site.

**Question 3** - To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Peschel does not have specialized knowledge or experience related to the Site or nearby properties.

**Question 4** - Mr. Peschel indicated that this question is not applicable (NA).

**Question 5** - To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Peschel is not aware of conditions indicative of releases or threatened releases of OHM at the Site.

**Question 6** - To the best of his knowledge, Mr. Peschel is not aware of any obvious indicators regarding the presence or likely presence of contamination at the Site.

8.0 LIMITATIONS & CONDITIONS

Professional services have been performed in accordance with the American Society for Testing and materials “Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments.” Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process” Designation E1527-05 and customary principals and practices in the fields of environmental science and engineering. This statement is in lieu of all other statements either expressed or implied.

Environmental Site Assessments are inherently limited in the sense that conclusions are drawn and recommendations developed from limited inquiry and Site reconnaissance. Accuracy and completeness of record information varies among information sources, including governmental sources. Record information is often inaccurate or incomplete.

JTC is not obligated to identify mistakes or insufficiencies in information. However, the environmental professional reviewing the record information should make a reasonable effort to compensate for mistakes or insufficiencies in the information reviewed that are obvious in regards to other information which the environmental professional has knowledge. Additionally, the passage of time may result in a change in the environmental conditions at the Site and surrounding properties. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does this warrant operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated.
This report is not an environmental compliance audit. The environmental professional conducting the Site reconnaissance noted uses and conditions to the extent visually and physically observed during the Site visit.

Intrusive sampling and analysis of subsurface soil and groundwater were beyond the scope of this assessment. Subsurface conditions may differ from conditions implied by surficial observations.

Sampling and analysis for asbestos containing materials (ACMs), lead paint, urea formaldehyde foam insulation, or radon gas was beyond the scope of this assessment. Should the existence of ACMs, lead paint, urea formaldehyde foam insulation, or radon gas be suspected those areas should be treated as though they existed until sampling and analysis can be performed.

Conclusions regarding the potential environmental impact of properties within the approximate minimum search distance of the Site are based on reasonably ascertainable and practically reviewable information from the environmental databases and the assumed groundwater flow direction. A detailed file review of each facility was beyond the scope of this assessment. Actual groundwater conditions, including direction of flow, can only be determined through the installation of groundwater monitoring wells and intrusive sampling and analysis.

All reasonable efforts have been made during this assessment to identify the presence of ASTs and USTs and appurtenance equipment. “Reasonable efforts” are limited to information gained from visual observation of largely unobstructed areas, reasonably ascertainable and practically reviewable public database information, and available information gathered from interviews.

Inquiry into historical ownership of the Site was conducted in an attempt to evaluate Site usage. JTC is not a professional title insurance firm and makes no guarantee, explicit or implied, that the listing which may have been reviewed represented a comprehensive delineation of past Site ownership or tenancy for legal purposes.

8.1 Deviations From Practice

Within the scope of the ASTM E1527-05, there were no deviations from practice.

8.2 Data Gaps

Data gaps that would hinder the ability of the Environmental Professional to identify recognized environmental conditions (RECs) at the Site were not encountered during performance of this assessment.
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E1527-05 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Site: School St Parking Lot

Person Completing Questionnaire:
Name: Dean Peschel

Relationship to Site: Former Dover Environmental Project

Question 1: Are you aware of any environmental cleanup liens against the property that are filed or recorded under federal, tribal, state, or local law? (40 CFR 312.25)

☐ No Yes (Explain)

Question 2: Are you aware of any activity and land use limitations (such as engineering controls, land use restrictions, deed restrictions, or institutional controls) that are in place at the property and/or have been filed or recorded in a registry under federal, tribal, state, or local law? (40 CFR 312.26)

☐ No Yes (Explain)

Question 3: Do you have any specialized knowledge or experience related to the property or nearby properties? For example, are you involved in the same line of business as the current or former occupants of the property or an adjoining property so that you would have specialized knowledge of the chemicals and processes used by this type of business? (40 CFR 312.28)

☐ No Yes (Explain)

Adapted from ASTM Practice E1527-05: Standard for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process.
E1527-05 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Questionnaire

Question 4: Does the purchase price being paid for this property reasonably reflect the fair market value of the Site? (40 CFR 312.29)

No  Yes (Explain)  

If you conclude that there is a difference, have you considered whether the lower purchase price is because contamination is known to be present at the property?

Question 5: Are you aware of commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property that would help the environmental professional to identify conditions indicative of releases or threatened releases? (40 CFR 312.30)

No  Yes (Explain)

For Example:

a. Do you know the past users of this property?
b. Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once present at the property?
c. Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property?
d. Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property?

Question 6: Based on your knowledge and experience related to the property are there any obvious indications that point to the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property?

No  Yes (Explain)