

From: [Latimer, Jim](#)
To: [Arsenault, Dan](#); [Cobb, Michael](#)
Subject: RE: Agenda - Science Review Meeting
Date: Tuesday, November 6, 2018 1:03:55 PM

I'm just responding to you about this email (and the attachment), (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Jim

From: Dean Peschel <dean_peschel@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2018 11:57 AM
To: Giunta, Lindsey <Lindsey.Giunta@des.nh.gov>; Ted Diers <Ted.Diers@des.nh.gov>; stergios.spanos@des.nh.gov; Latimer, Jim <Latimer.Jim@epa.gov>; 'Melissa Paly' <mpaly@clf.org>; Arsenault, Dan <Arsenault.Dan@epa.gov>; Cobb, Michael <Cobb.Michael@epa.gov>; 'jhall@hall-associates.com' <jhall@hall-associates.com>; Edwardson, Ken <Kenneth.Edwardson@des.nh.gov>; Gregg Comstock <Gregg.Comstock@des.nh.gov>; Colarusso, Phil <colarusso.phil@epa.gov>; 'thomas.gallagher@hdrinc.com' <thomas.gallagher@hdrinc.com>; 'Terry L. Desmarais' <tldesmarais@cityofportsmouth.com>; Daniel Hammond <DHammond@BrwnCald.com>; tirwin@CLF.org; Clark Freise <clark.freise@des.nh.gov>
Subject: Re: Agenda - Science Review Meeting

Good morning again Clark,
In 2005 the NHEP, now PREP, convened a TAC to review the available data to look into the nitrogen issue in Great Bay. The TAC met periodically for a three year period and looked at the applicability of the Latimer approach in the Great Bay estuary during the process. Attached are the minutes from the December 2007 TAC meeting that concluded the approach was not applicable for Great Bay. The Coalition would like to know why this conceptual approach is being proposed now and what has changed since 2007 when the TAC concluded that it wasn't applicable to the Great Bay estuary?

I felt it would be better to share the question with everyone ahead of the meeting, rather than raising at the meeting.

Thanks

[Dean Peschel](#)

[Peschel Consulting LLC](#)
[59 Sleeper Circle](#)
[Fremont, NH 03044](#)
[Ph: 603-781-5931](#)

On Tuesday, November 6, 2018 09:44:22 AM EST, Freise, Clark <Clark.Freise@des.nh.gov> wrote:
For tomorrow's meeting here is the rough agenda, the overall goal is to make sure we all understand the scientific basis of the proposed approach, what that approach would take, and some idea that it

is achievable (basically we can get to a better environmental outcome at less expense than the traditional approach).

introductions and goals of meeting (Freise)

Scientific references and applicability of the target loads (Latimer & Arsenault)

Baseline loading calculations and approach (Arsenault)

Tradeoffs and flexibility to reach adaptive management goals (All)

should include some discussion of how towns think they would approach meeting their goals

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Giunta, Lindsey

Sent: Monday, October 29, 2018 3:28 PM

To: Giunta, Lindsey; Freise, Clark; Diers, Ted; Spanos, Stergios; 'Dean Peschel'; 'latimer.jim@epa.gov'; 'Melissa Paly'; 'arsenault.dan@epa.gov'; 'cobb.michael@epa.gov'; 'Leclair.Jackie@epa.gov'; 'cbell@brwncald.com'; 'jhall@hall-associates.com'; Edwardson, Ken; Comstock, Gregg; 'colarusso.phil@epamail.epa.gov'; 'thomas.gallagher@hdrinc.com'; 'Terry L. Desmarais'; Daniel Hammond

Subject: Science Review Meeting

When: Wednesday, November 07, 2018 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: 61 Locust Street, Dover, NH

The meeting will take place in Room #305 in the Community Center of the McConnell Center Building.

There is plenty of free parking in the lot by the library.